## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 12 are amended; and claim 5 is canceled. No new matter is added. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies shown to Applicants' representative by Examiner Vu in the April 3 personal interview. Applicants' separate record of the substance of the interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

## I. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter

The Office Action rejects claims 1-8 and 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 5,956,026 to Ratakonda; and rejects claims 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Ratakonda and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0009428 to Dow et al. (Dow). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, "displaying at least one representative frame located by a find key frame widget from an input multimedia image data signal based on analysis of command data within the multimedia image data signal, wherein the command data includes closed-caption information." Independent claim 12 recites, *inter alia*, "identifying at least one representative frame of an input multimedia data image based on analysis of command data within the multimedia image data image, wherein the command data includes closed-caption information." Support for the amendment can be found in the specification at, for example, page 10, lines 23-28.

The passage relied upon by the Office Action of Ratakonda as disclosing command data merely discloses the identification of a key frame based on the click of a button.

Ratakonda fails to teach or suggest displaying at least one representative frame located by a find key frame widget from an input multimedia image data signal based on analysis of command data within the multimedia image data signal, wherein the command data includes

Xerox Docket No. D/98228D Application No. 10/624,762

closed-caption information. Dow fails to cure Ratakkonda's deficiency. Accordingly, the

applied reference, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest each and every feature of

claims 1 and 12.

For at least these reasons and as acknowledged during the April 3 personal interview,

independent claims 1 and 12 and their dependent claims are patentable over the applied

references. Thus, withdrawal of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

II. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in

condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the pending

claims are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place

this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

Obert H. Chu

Registration No. 52,744

JAO:OHC/mdw

Date: April 3, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. Box 19928

Alexandria, Virginia 22320

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION** Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 24-0037

-6-